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**ABSTRACT:**

The main objective of this work is to compare different base-isolation techniques, in order to evaluate their effects on the structural response and applicability limits under near-fault earthquakes. In particular, high-damping-laminated-rubber bearings are considered, in case acting in parallel with supplemental viscous dampers, or acting either in parallel or in series with steel sliding bearings. A numerical investigation is carried out assuming as reference test structure a base-isolated six-storey reinforced concrete (r.c.) framed building designed. A bilinear model idealizes the behaviour of the r.c. frame members, while the response of the elastomeric bearings is simulated by using a viscoelastic linear model; a viscous-linear law and a rigid-plastic one are assumed to simulate the seismic behaviour of a supplemental damper and a sliding bearing, respectively. The seismic analysis of the test structures, subjected to strong ground motions recorded near faults, is carried out by using a step-by-step procedure.

**INTRODUCTION:**

The base-isolation techniques prove to be very effective for the seismic protection of new framed buildings as well as for the seismic retrofitting of existing ones. Design guidelines have been developed in many countries with a high seismic hazard (e.g., United States, Japan, New Zealand) and, lately, suitable code provisions have been drafted also in Europe. However, under near-fault ground motions, even base-isolated structures designed according to recent seismic codes can undergo unforeseen structural damages.

The near-fault ground motions are characterized by long duration pulses, with displacements so large that an oversizing of the isolation system could be required.

Moreover, the frequency content of the motion transmitted by the isolators to the superstructure can become critical when the pulse intensity is such that the superstructure undergoes plastic deformations.

In addition, it is possible an amplification of the structural response due to the long duration of the Pulse into account for buildings of importance class I (e.g., hospitals and nuclear plants) located at a distance less than 15 km from the nearest potentially active fault with a magnitude Ms*≥*6.5. To overcome the above mentioned problems many authors proposed solutions based on different kinds of isolators and dampers. The main objective of the present work is to compare some of the base-isolation techniques more frequently adopted in literature with reference to framed buildings subjected to far-fault in order to evaluate their effects on the structural response and applicability limits under near-fault earthquakes. To this aim, a numerical investigation is carried out with reference to typical base-isolated six-storey r.c. framed buildings designed. The dynamic response of the test structures isolated at the base by elastomeric bearings, in case acting in combination with supplemental dampers or sliding bearings.

**BASE ISOLATION SYSTEMS:**

The base isolation systems are usually realized by elastomeric and sliding bearings, if necessary combined with supplemental dampers. The main typologies of isolation systems proposed in literature to provide an acceptable seismic performance of framed structures are outlined below.

The elastomeric-type bearings, e.g. those of a high-damping type (i.e., like the “High-Damping-Laminated-Rubber Bearing, HDLRB) or fiber-reinforced filter the ground motion so that the effects on the response of the superstructure are reduced. A lead core may be inserted in the laminated bearing (like the “Lead-Rubber Bearing”, LRB) to increase both the initial stiffness, so limiting the base displacement under seismic actions of moderate intensity and wind actions, and the hysteretic energy dissipation. On the other hand, for the frictional-type bearings (e.g., sliding bearings with steel interface or rolling bearings like the “Friction-Pendulum”, FP) the maximum acceleration transmitted to the superstructure is limited through a frictional force proportional to the supported weight. However, the structure behaves like a fixed-base structure during the stick-phases. Moreover, residual base displacements are expected for the frictional bearings, because no restoring force is provided. The horizontal force-displacement law of an elastomeric bearing can be idealized using the viscoelastic linear model in the case of a HDLRB or the bilinear model in the case of a LRB.

but the above law has to be properly modified to take into account the hardening effects at large strains levels; while the rigid-plastic model can be adopted to represent a frictional bearing.

Moreover, different are expected when elastomeric and frictional bearings or isolation and supplemental damping systems at the base are combined. Of course, more sophisticated laws can be found in the scientific literature, requiring the identification of the parameters characterizing the behaviour of the isolators and dampers, but the simplified models shown above are generally preferred to carry out extensive numerical investigations. The large base displacement due to strong near-fault ground motions can be enabled adopting large elastomeric bearings (e.g., increasing the dimensions of the rubber layers, for the HDLRB, and also the lead plug, for the LRB); analogous considerations apply to frictional bearings (e.g., gradually increasing the curvature and the roughness of the sliding surface, for the FP). In alternative, it is possible to combine elastomeric and steel sliding bearings acting in series (e.g., as in the HDLRBs placed on different layers and connected by steel plates. A different approach is that based on the reduction of the base displacement taking advantage of the hardening response that a HDLRB exhibits for a strain amplitude greater than that commonly considered in the a seismic design, or through an in-parallel combination of a HDLRB and a supplemental damper. Actually a wide variety of energy dissipating devices is available, differing by the way of dissipating energy: friction, metallic-yielding, visco elasticity and viscosity of elastomers or fluids.Moreover, particular devices are those based on electrorheological and magnetorheological fluids with aviscous-plastic behaviour or those made of nickel-titanium shape memory. At last, elastomeric and frictional bearings can be also combined in parallel. However, all these systems have the problem of increasing the contribution of the higher vibration modes of the superstructure.